

Below are the main points/action items I noted from the June 25, 2013 Model Work Session:

Upcoming Model Work Session Schedule

- Weekly meetings until further notice. Next meeting July 2, 2013 10 a.m. @ DEQ.

Decision Points

- Decision made to use utilize the empirical USGS data rather than Schmidt estimates, as was used in the previous model run, to account for inputs from all unaccounted flows.

Upcoming Items

- DEQ has money in the FY2014 budget to pay Jonathan Clough and Dick Park fund for approximately 60 total hours to consult on the AQUATOX modeling effort. Troy is continuing to work on the contract with Jonathan, Dick, and DEQ's procurement/contracts personnel.
- Clifton Bell will participate in the July 9 Model Work Session. Clifton is the Technical Leader for Watersheds and TMDLs with Brown and Caldwell and the co-PI of the WERF project on the use of models to site-specific water quality goals

Action Item Updates

- Troy
 - A. DEQ and City of Boise floated the LBR from Star to Caldwell and Caldwell to Hwy 95 Bridge on 6/20 and 6/21 to gather qualitative riffle/run/pool, substrate, water depth, and general periphyton data to help with model set-up and calibration. Data still in raw form, but to be analyzed soon.
 - B. Continuing to update the "LBR_Atx_2012Updating_Outstandingitems_061813" document and post to the ftp site. This document has a number of action items that need to be completed for the model calibration. The attached/updated version is:
 - "LBR_Atx_2013Updating_Outstandingitems_062513"
- Michael
 - A. Continue updating input files and will repost on the ftp site when ready.
- Darcy
 - A. Continuing to work on the morphometry and velocity components of the model. She hopes this calibration will be completed in ~3 weeks (she is on vacation this week).
- Jack (although he was unable to attend the meeting, his items from the 6/11 meeting were kept on the agenda so that they could be fully addressed)
 - A. Frame/outline 3 questions related to the interpretation of pebble count and periphyton data, model results, and targets (roughly paraphrased below):
 1. How to best characterize riffles/runs/pools on the LBR for use in the model?
 - Some methods discussed by the group included algorithm review, sensitivity analyses, field documentation, remote sensing, etc.
 2. How to apply the USGS periphyton data collection to riffles vs. runs in the model and interpret results?
 - Alex's and Dick's professional opinions were interpreted as believing periphyton growth would likely be similar in riffles and runs, given the

appropriate substrate. However, it was also identified that other factors could come into play such as turbidity, water velocity, water depth, etc.

3. Ensuring that the target and data transformation procedures are clear, aligned, and appropriate.
 - It was suggested to deal with questions 1 and 2 first, which may help formulate how question 3 is addressed.

New Action Items

- All
 - A. See the attached "LBR Atx 2013Updating Outstandingitems 062513." This document has essentially become the "to-do" list for the model set-up and calibration. Please identify if you have been tasked with an item or if there are items you can help to complete. If so, please let me know so that we can appropriately update and repost to the ftp site.

As always, please let me know what I missed or misinterpreted and thanks for your participation today! Cheers,

-Troy

Troy G. Smith

Watershed Coordinator

DEQ Boise Regional Office

1445 N. Orchard St.

Boise, Idaho 83706

208-373-0434

Troy.Smith@deq.idaho.gov