



**Department of Environmental Quality**  
**State Priority Rating Form**  
**Drinking Water Grants**  
 (To Be Completed By DEQ Staff)

Priority Year <b>FY 2013</b>
Total Points <b>0</b>

Name of Public Water System \_\_\_\_\_  
 Public Water System Number \_\_\_\_\_ Population Served: \_\_\_\_\_

Description of Project/Problem(s) (use additional pages if necessary)  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Total Estimated Project Cost \_\_\_\_\_  
 Estimated DEQ Grant \_\_\_\_\_

DEQ Staff Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date \_\_\_\_\_ Regional Office \_\_\_\_\_

**A) PUBLIC HEALTH HAZARD** 100 points maximum

*IDAPA 58.01.22.020.01.02.a. Any condition which creates, or may create, a danger to the consumer's health, which may include one or more of the following, may be awarded a maximum of one hundred (100) points: i. Documented unresolved violations of the primary drinking water standards including maximum contaminant levels, action levels, and treatment techniques (to include maximum contaminant levels for acute and chronic contaminants); ii. Documented unresolved violations of pressure requirements; iii. Documented reduction in source capacity that impacts the system's ability to reliably serve water; or iv. Documented significant deficiencies (e.g., documented in a sanitary survey) in the physical system that is causing the system to not be able to reliably serve safe drinking water. (4-26-11)T*

*Reviewer: have you alerted your compliance officer and has she taken action on the item(s) below?*

(Select all that are true and will be addressed by the proposed study)	Points
1. Documented unresolved violations of the primary drinking water standards including MCLs, action levels and treatment techniques (to include MCLs for acute and chronic contaminants)	35
2. Documented frequent unresolved incidents of pressure <20 psi (as evidenced by public notices or other reasonable documentation)	25
3. Documented reduction in source capacity that impacts system's ability to reliably serve water	20
4. Documented significant deficiencies in the physical system not otherwise listed in 1-3 that are causing the system to not be able to reliably serve safe drinking water (with sanitary survey date or other documentation)	20
<b>Subtotal (Part 1)</b>	<b>0</b>

**B) GENERAL CONDITIONS OF EXISTING FACILITIES** 60 points maximum

*IDAPA 58.01.22.020.02.b. General Conditions of Existing Facilities. Points shall be given based on deficiencies (which would not constitute a public health hazard) for pumping, treating, storing, and delivering drinking water - up to sixty (60) points. (4-26-11)T*

(Select all that are true and will be addressed by the proposed study)  
 Systems will be considered inadequate to meet *current* hydraulic demand if pressures consistently <40 psi or occasional depressurization events <20 psi. Treatment systems will be considered inadequate if they cannot meet primary MCLs at that hydraulic demand.

1. Treatment deficiencies		
a. Water treatment facilities do not meet the requirements of the Idaho Rules for Public Drinking Water Systems, but problems do not constitute a public health hazard as in (A) above	10	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Treatment facilities have inadequate capacity and cannot reliably meet <i>current</i> demand without extraordinary operational procedures	9	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Inadequate treatment results in exceedance of secondary MCLs	5	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Source deficiencies		
a. Source(s) do not meet current setback rules	2	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Source's or combined sources' capacity is inadequate to meet <i>current</i> hydraulic demand, even if they are or could be equipped with adequately sized pumps (with description and documentation such as pressure readings or complaints received by operator and problem not due to leaks)	9	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Source(s) have adequate water, but well pumps or intake pumps are inadequate to meet <i>current</i> hydraulic demand (with description and documentation such as pressure readings or complaints received by operator and problem not due to leaks).	7	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Distribution system deficiencies (not including well pumps)		
a. Storage, pumping and distribution facilities have inadequate capacity and cannot reliably meet <i>current</i> demand	9	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Existing storage tanks, pumping and distribution facilities are leaking excessively or are structurally flawed or deteriorated (with documentation, such as maintenance logs)	5	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Plan will address redundancy and standby power	4	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Existing facilities are generally sound and meet existing needs	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Subtotal (Part 2)	0

<b>C) SUSTAINABILITY EFFORTS</b>	<b>50 points maximum</b>
<i>IDAPA 58.01.22.020.02.c.Sustainability Efforts (e.g., prospective efforts at energy conservation, water conservation, extending the life of capital assets, green building practices, and other environmentally innovative approaches to infrastructure repair, replacement and improvement) - up to fifty (50) points.(4-26-11)T</i>	

1. Management-based (select all that apply)		
Applicant proposes to implement or has implemented:	Points	
a. capital budget that is funded and is supported by capital improvement plan	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. consumption-based, full-cost pricing for drinking water systems	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. formal asset management system (using a tool such as EPA's CUPSS)	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. sustainable design principles, including energy efficiency and design for disassembly	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. formal environmental management system (exemplified by ISO 14001 certification)	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. SI benchmarking program	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. actions to become an EPA GreenPower Partner	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. proposed project is a consolidated system ( <i>i.e.</i> , public/private, small/large, shared resource)	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
i. "green" building management (derived from LEED O&M criteria)	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
j. fund water efficient fixtures for the community	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
k. conduct professional energy audit and intend to substantially implement recommendat	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
l. Other (contact Grant Program)	20	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. Technology-Based (select all that apply)  
As part of this project, the applicant proposes to implement:

a. installation of water meters and employ other water conservation measures that result in a net 20% water use savings (e.g., use of WaterSense plumbing/irrigation products,	20	
b. use/installation of energy-efficient lighting systems and other practices that result in a net 20% energy reduction:	20	
Advanced fluorescent lighting		
High-efficiency discharge lighting		
Lighting controls		
Energy-efficient motors (NEMA Premium or equivalent)		
Variable Frequency Drive (VFD) pumps		
On-site energy generation: Fuel cells, Solar, Wind		
c. "green" building designs (derived from LEED criteria)	20	
d. "green" building management (derived from LEED O&M criteria)	20	
e. Other (contact Grant Program)	20	
<hr/>		
3. Construction practices (select all that apply)		
a. Recycled materials are specified for facility construction	10	
b. Designed/built for environmentally friendly decommissioning	10	
c. Other (contact Grant Program)	10	

Subtotal (Part 3): limit to 50 points      0

**D) CONSENT ORDER, COMPLIANCE AGREEMENT SCHEDULE OR COURT ORDER 30 points maximum**

*IDAPA 58.01.22.020.02.d. Consent Order, Compliance Agreement Schedule, or Court Order. Points shall be given if the system is operating under and in compliance with a Consent Order, Compliance Agreement Schedule, or Court Order and the proposed construction project will address the Consent Order, Compliance Agreement Schedule, or Court Order - up to thirty (30) points.(4-26-11)T*

(If the system is operating under an order, select one)

1. Funding request is intended to solve technical issues in the order or agreement	30	
2. Funding request mitigates some, but not all of the technical issues in the order or agreement	15	
3. Funding request is not related to order or agreement	0	

Subtotal (Part 4): limit to 30 pt      0

**E) BONUS POINTS/INCENTIVES 10 points maximum**

*IDAPA 58.01.22.020.02.e. Incentives. Bonus points shall be awarded to systems that promote source water protection, conservation, economy, proper operation maintenance, and monitoring - up to ten (10) points. (4-26-11)T*

(Select all that apply)

1. System has a Drinking Water Protection Plan < 3 years old	2	
2. System has established a separate capital replacement fund, and submitted financial statement shows that at least one contribution has been made to it in last 3 years (consult w/ Grant Program)	2	
3. Community Water System has active Cross-connection Control Plan, or non-CWS has no potential cross-connection issues identified in the managerial module of the latest sanitary survey (date provided)	2	
4. System has conservation-oriented rate structure (rate dependent on usage, with rate schedule provided)	2	
5. System has a pre-designated licensed substitute operator (SRCO)	1	
6. System is current on required monitoring	1	

Subtotal (Part 5): limit to 10 pt      0

**F) AFFORDABILITY 10 points maximum**

*IDAPA 58.01.22.020.02.f. Affordability. Points shall be given when current system user charges exceed state affordability guidelines - ten (10) points. (3-30-01)*

(select one)

A planning effort is not affordable if the monthly user charge (based on operation, maintenance, replacement and debt service) exceeds 1.5% of the monthly Median Household Income (MHI).

1. Obtain city or community MHI from either (check one):

[factfinder2.census.gov](http://factfinder2.census.gov)  
 DEQ-approved community income survey: \_\_\_\_\_  
 community name \_\_\_\_\_  
 MHI (annual) \_\_\_\_\_ Year 1999

NOTE: Demographic Profiles from the 2010 Census will not be available until May 2011

2. Adjust the MHI to January 2012 dollars using the Bureau of Labor Statistics CPI-U price index

<http://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/cpicalc.pl>      2012 MHI (annual) \_\_\_\_\_  
 monthly user charge \_\_\_\_\_

a. Not affordable	10	
b. Affordable	0	
	Subtotal (Part 7)	0

**Priority Rating Summary:**

	Subtotal Part 1 - Public Health Hazard (0-100)	0
	Subtotal Part 2 - General Conditions of Existing Facilities (0-60)	0
	Subtotal Part 3 - Sustainability Efforts (0-50)	0
	Subtotal Part 4 - Consent Order, Compliance Agreement Schedule or Court Order (0-30)	0
	Subtotal Part 5 - Incentives (0-10)	0
	Subtotal Part 6 - Affordability (0-10)	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

**NOTES:**

For recording information not on LOI, conversations with applicant, etc.

Limited capabilities: WordWrap works, use <alt><enter> for manual carriage return; no <tab>

